

**Corrigendum to “Periodic points and stability  
in Clark’s delayed recruitment model”  
[Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications  
9 (2008) 776-790]**

Hassan A. El-Morshedy <sup>a</sup>, Víctor Jiménez López <sup>b</sup> and  
Eduardo Liz <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Department of Mathematics, ABHA Teachers’ College, ABHA P. O. Box 249,  
Saudi Arabia (elmorshedy@yahoo.com)*

<sup>b</sup>*Departamento de Matemáticas, Campus de Espinardo, Universidad de Murcia,  
30100 Murcia, Spain (vjimenez@um.es)*

<sup>c</sup>*Departamento de Matemática Aplicada II, E.T.S.E. Telecomunicación,  
Universidade de Vigo, Campus Marcosende, 36310 Vigo, Spain  
(eliz@dma.uvigo.es)*

Although they do not affect the main results, it is necessary to fix two incorrect assertions concerning the example investigated in Section 4.2 of the above-referenced paper.

In this example we considered the following difference equation (labelled as (10) in the paper):

$$x_{n+1} = \alpha x_n + (1 - \alpha)2e^{2-x_n-2}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, \quad (1)$$

with  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ , and initial conditions  $(x_0, x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}_+^3 = [0, \infty)^3$ .

The statement of Corollary 12 in page 785 is incorrect. It should be replaced by the following one:

**Corollary 12.** *If  $\alpha \geq 0$  is small enough, then Eq. (1) has exactly one repelling equilibrium, one attracting 2-cycle, and four 6-cycles (three saddles and one node). They attract all solutions of (1).*

The reason is that Eq. (1) with  $\alpha = 0$ , that is,

$$x_{n+1} = 2e^{2-x_n-2}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots, \quad (2)$$