

RESEARCH

Open Access



# An oscillation criterion of linear delay differential equations

George E. Chatzarakis<sup>1\*</sup>, Božena Dorociaková<sup>2</sup> and Rudolf Olach<sup>2</sup>

\*Correspondence:

geaxatz@otenet.gr;  
gea.xatz@aspete.gr

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Educators, School of Pedagogical and Technological Education (ASPETE), Athens, Greece

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

## Abstract

In this paper, we present a new sufficient condition for the oscillation of all solutions of linear delay differential equations. The obtained result improves known conditions in the literature. We also give an example to illustrate the applicability and strength of the obtained condition over known ones.

**MSC:** Primary 34K06; secondary 34K11

**Keywords:** Oscillation; Delay differential equation; Oscillatory solutions; Nonoscillatory solutions; Sufficient conditions

## 1 Introduction

This paper is devoted to studying the oscillation of the first-order delay differential equation of the form

$$x'(t) + p(t)x(t - r(t)) = 0, \quad t \geq T_0, \quad (1)$$

where  $T_0 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $p, r \in C([T_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ , and  $0 < r(t) < t$ , and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (t - r(t)) = \infty$ .

The problem of the oscillatory properties of the solutions of delay differential equations has been recently investigated by many authors. See, for example [1–11] and the references therein. We mention some results for the purpose of this paper.

Chatzarakis and Li [5] studied the oscillation of delay differential equations with non-monotone arguments. The results reported in this paper (regarding the oscillation of first-order delay differential equations) have numerous applications (e.g., comparison principles) in the study of oscillation and asymptotic behavior of higher-order differential equations; see, for instance, [1, 6, 10, 11] for more detail.

In 1972, Ladas, Lakshmikantham, and Papadakis [9] proved that if

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-r(t)}^t p(s) ds > 1, \quad (2)$$

then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

© The Author(s) 2021. This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Ladas [8] in 1979, and Koplatadze and Chanturiya [7] in 1982 improved (2) to

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-r(t)}^t p(s) ds > \frac{1}{e}. \tag{3}$$

Concerning the constant  $\frac{1}{e}$  in (3), it is to be pointed out that if the inequality

$$\int_{t-r(t)}^t p(s) ds \leq \frac{1}{e}$$

eventually holds, then, according to a result in [4], (1) has a nonoscillatory solution.

In the recent paper [3] the authors established the following oscillation criterion for (1) when  $r(t) = \tau, \tau > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.1** ([3]) *Let  $p : [T_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a nonnegative, bounded, and uniformly continuous function such that*

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s) ds > 0.$$

*Moreover, suppose that the function*

$$A(t) = \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s) ds, \quad t \geq T_0 + \tau,$$

*is slowly varying at infinity. Then*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s) ds > \frac{1}{e}$$

*implies that all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.*

Our aim is establishing a new condition for the oscillation of all solutions of (1), including the cases where conditions (2)–(3) and Theorem 1.1 cannot be applied. We also give an example illustrating the applicability and strength of the obtained condition over the known ones.

**2 Main result**

The proof of our main result is essentially based on the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $x$  be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then for sufficiently large  $t_0 > T_0$ ,*

$$\ln \frac{x(t-\tau)}{x(t)} = \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s) \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds, \quad t \geq t_0 + \tau.$$

*Proof* Let  $x$  be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then  $x(t-r(t)) > 0$  for  $t \geq t_0 + \tau$ , where  $t_0 > T_0$  is sufficiently large. From (1), for  $t \geq t_0 + \tau$ , we obtain

$$\frac{x'(t)}{x(t)} + p(t) \frac{x(t-r(t))}{x(t)} = 0,$$

or

$$\int_{t-\tau}^t \frac{x'(s)}{x(s)} ds + \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s) \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds = 0,$$

that is,

$$\ln \frac{x(t-\tau)}{x(t)} = \int_{t-\tau}^t p(s) \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds, \quad t \geq t_0 + \tau.$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. □

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $x$  be an eventually positive solution of (1). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \frac{x(t-\tau)}{x(t)} &= p(t) \int_{t-\tau}^t \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &\quad + [p(t) - p(t-\tau)] \int_{t_0}^{t-\tau} \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &\quad - \int_{t-\tau}^t p'(s) \int_{t_0}^s \frac{x(u-r(u))}{x(u)} du ds, \quad t \geq t_0 + \tau. \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

*Proof* It is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{t-\tau}^t p(s) \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &= p(t) \int_{t-\tau}^t \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &\quad + [p(t) - p(t-\tau)] \int_{t_0}^{t-\tau} \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds - \int_{t-\tau}^t p'(s) \int_{t_0}^s \frac{x(u-r(u))}{x(u)} du ds, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} \ln \frac{x(t-\tau)}{x(t)} &= p(t) \int_{t-\tau}^t \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &\quad + [p(t) - p(t-\tau)] \int_{t_0}^{t-\tau} \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &\quad - \int_{t-\tau}^t p'(s) \int_{t_0}^s \frac{x(u-r(u))}{x(u)} du ds, \quad t \geq t_0 + \tau. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the lemma is complete. □

Now we focus on the function

$$R(t) = - \int_{t-\tau}^t p'(s) \int_{t_0}^s \frac{x(u-r(u))}{x(u)} du ds, \quad t \geq t_0 + \tau.$$

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $x$  be an eventually positive solution of (1). Assume that:*

(H<sub>1</sub>) *the function  $p \in C^1([T_0, \infty), (0, \infty))$ ;*

(H<sub>2</sub>)  *$p((2n+1)\tau) - p(2n\tau) = 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;*

(H<sub>3</sub>) there exists  $T_n \in (2n\tau, (2n + 1)\tau)$  such that  $p'(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (T_n - \tau, T_n)$  and  $p'(t) < 0$  for  $t \in (T_n, (2n + 1)\tau]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ;

(H<sub>4</sub>)  $\inf\{-\int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} (t - T_n)p'(t) dt, n \in \mathbb{N}\} > 0$ .  
Then

$$\inf\{R((2n + 1)\tau), n \in \mathbb{N}\} > 0.$$

*Proof* We easily see that

$$\begin{aligned} &R((2n + 1)\tau) \\ &= -\int_{2n\tau}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &= -\int_{2n\tau}^{T_n} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt - \int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &\geq -\int_{2n\tau}^{T_n} p'(t) dt \int_{t_0}^{T_n} \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds - \int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) dt \int_{t_0}^{T_n} \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &\quad - \int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{T_n}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &= \left(-\int_{2n\tau}^{T_n} p'(t) dt - \int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) dt\right) \int_{t_0}^{T_n} \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &\quad - \int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{T_n}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &= [p(2n\tau) - p((2n + 1)\tau)] \int_{t_0}^{T_n} \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds - \int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{T_n}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &= -\int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{T_n}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x(t)$  is decreasing,  $x(t - r(t)) \geq x(t)$ ,  $t \geq t_0 + \tau$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R((2n + 1)\tau) &\geq -\int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{T_n}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &\geq -\int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} (t - T_n)p'(t) dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (H<sub>4</sub>), we get  $\inf\{R((2n + 1)\tau), n \in \mathbb{N}\} > 0$ .

The proof of the lemma is complete. □

**Theorem 2.1** Suppose that (H<sub>1</sub>)–(H<sub>4</sub>) hold,  $r(t) \geq \tau$ ,  $p(t)$  is periodic with period  $2\tau$ , and

$$p'(t - \tau) - p'(t) > 0, \quad t \in (T_n, (2n + 1)\tau), n \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{5}$$

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-r(t)}^t p(s) ds > 0. \tag{6}$$

Then all solutions of (1) are oscillatory.

*Proof* Assume that (1) has a positive solution  $x$ . The derivative of the function  $R(t)$  is

$$\begin{aligned} R'(t) &= -p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds + p'(t-\tau) \int_{t_0}^{t-\tau} \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &= -p'(t) \int_{t-\tau}^t \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds - p'(t) \int_{t_0}^{t-\tau} \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &\quad + p'(t-\tau) \int_{t_0}^{t-\tau} \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &= -p'(t) \int_{t-\tau}^t \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds \\ &\quad + [p'(t-\tau) - p'(t)] \int_{t_0}^{t-\tau} \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds, \quad t \geq t_0 + \tau. \end{aligned}$$

Condition (5) implies that  $R'(t) > 0$  for  $t \in (T_n, (2n+1)\tau)$ . Thus the function  $R(t)$  is increasing on  $(T_n, (2n+1)\tau)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $R(T_n) < 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Lemma 2.3 there exist  $t_n \in (T_n, (2n+1)\tau)$  such that  $R(t_n) = 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Condition  $(H_4)$  implies that  $\inf\{(2n+1)\tau - T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} > 0$ . Put

$$H(t) = p(t) - p(t-\tau), \quad t \in (T_n, (2n+1)\tau], n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

According to (5) and  $(H_2)$ , we have

$$H'(t) = p'(t) - p'(t-\tau) < 0, \quad t \in (T_n, (2n+1)\tau),$$

and  $H((2n+1)\tau) = 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then

$$H(t) = p(t) - p(t-\tau) > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in (T_n, (2n+1)\tau), n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{7}$$

Now assume that

$$t_n \leq b_n = (2n+1)\tau - \varepsilon, \quad n \in \mathbb{N},$$

where  $0 < \varepsilon < \inf\{(2n+1)\tau - T_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . In view of (4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\ln \frac{x(b_n - \tau)}{x(b_n)} \\ &= p(b_n) \int_{b_n-\tau}^{b_n} \frac{x(s-\tau)}{x(s)} ds \\ &\quad + [p(b_n) - p(b_n - \tau)] \int_{t_0}^{b_n-\tau} \frac{x(s-\tau)}{x(s)} ds + R(b_n), \quad b_n \geq t_0 + \tau, n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Condition (6) implies that  $x(t-r(t))/x(t)$  is bounded [7]. Since  $x(t-r(t))/x(t) \geq x(t-\tau)/x(t)$ , it is obvious that there exists a constant  $K > 0$  such that  $x(t-\tau)/x(t) \leq K, t \geq T \geq t_0 + \tau$ , where  $T$  is sufficiently large. Thus

$$\ln K \geq p(b_n) \int_{b_n-\tau}^{b_n} \frac{x(s-r(s))}{x(s)} ds$$

$$+ [p(b_n) - p(b_n - \tau)] \int_{t_0}^{b_n - \tau} \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds + R(b_n), \quad b_n \geq T. \tag{8}$$

Otherwise, for sufficiently large  $b_n \geq T$ , by (7) and the periodicity of  $p(t)$ , we get

$$[p(b_n) - p(b_n - \tau)] \int_{t_0}^{b_n - \tau} \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds > \ln K,$$

which contradicts (8).

Now assume that there exists a sequence  $\{t_n\}$  such that

$$t_n \rightarrow (2n + 1)\tau \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad R(t_n) = 0, \quad t_n \in (T_n, (2n + 1)\tau), n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} &R((2n + 1)\tau) \\ &= - \int_{2n\tau}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &= - \int_{2n\tau}^{T_n} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt - \int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &= - \int_{t_n - \tau}^{T_n} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt + \int_{t_n - \tau}^{2n\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &\quad - \int_{T_n}^{t_n} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt - \int_{t_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &= - \int_{t_n - \tau}^{t_n} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt + \int_{t_n - \tau}^{2n\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &\quad - \int_{t_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &= R(t_n) + \int_{t_n - \tau}^{2n\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt - \int_{t_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &= \int_{t_n - \tau}^{2n\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \\ &\quad - \int_{t_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $t_n \rightarrow (2n + 1)\tau$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , clearly,

$$\int_{t_n - \tau}^{2n\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$- \int_{t_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} p'(t) \int_{t_0}^t \frac{x(s - r(s))}{x(s)} ds dt \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$R((2n + 1)\tau) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t_n \rightarrow (2n + 1)\tau \text{ and } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This contradicts  $\inf\{R((2n + 1)\tau), n \in \mathbb{N}\} > 0$ .

The proof of the theorem is complete. □

*Example* Consider the delay differential equation

$$x'(t) + \left(\frac{a}{\pi e} + \delta \sin at\right)x\left(t - \frac{\pi}{a}\right) = 0, \quad t \geq 0, \tag{9}$$

where  $a > 0, \delta \in (0, \frac{a}{\pi e})$ .

Equation (9) is a particular case of (1) when  $r(t) = \tau = \frac{\pi}{a}, T_0 = 0$ , and

$$p(t) = \frac{a}{\pi e} + \delta \sin at.$$

It is easy to see that  $(H_1)$  is satisfied. For condition  $(H_2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & p((2n + 1)\tau) - p(2n\tau) \\ &= \frac{a}{\pi e} + \delta \sin a(2n + 1)\frac{\pi}{a} - \frac{a}{\pi e} - \delta \sin a2n\frac{\pi}{a} \\ &= \delta[\sin(2n + 1)\pi - \sin 2n\pi] = 0, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

In condition  $(H_3)$ ,  $T_n = (2n + 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a}$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} p'(t) &= a\delta \cos at > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \left((2n - 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a}, (2n + 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a}\right), \\ p'(t) &< 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \left((2n + 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a}, (2n + 1)\frac{\pi}{a}\right], n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

For condition  $(H_4)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -a\delta \int_{(2n+0.5)\frac{\pi}{a}}^{(2n+1)\frac{\pi}{a}} \left(t - (2n + 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a}\right) \cos at \, dt \\ &= a\delta(2n + 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a} \int_{(2n+0.5)\frac{\pi}{a}}^{(2n+1)\frac{\pi}{a}} \cos at \, dt - a\delta \int_{(2n+0.5)\frac{\pi}{a}}^{(2n+1)\frac{\pi}{a}} t \cos at \, dt \\ &= \delta(2n + 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a} [\sin(2n + 1)\pi - \sin(2n + 0.5)\pi] - a\delta \left[ \frac{1}{a^2} \cos(2n + 1)\pi \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{a}(2n + 1)\frac{\pi}{a} \sin(2n + 1)\pi - \frac{1}{a^2} \cos(2n + 0.5)\pi - \frac{1}{a}(2n + 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a} \sin(2n + 0.5)\pi \right] \\ &= -\delta(2n + 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a} - a\delta \left[ -\frac{1}{a^2} - \frac{1}{a}(2n + 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a} \right] \\ &= -\delta(2n + 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a} - \delta \left[ -\frac{1}{a} - (2n + 0.5)\frac{\pi}{a} \right] = \frac{\delta}{a}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf \left\{ - \int_{T_n}^{(2n+1)\tau} (t - T_n) p'(t) dt, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ -a\delta \int_{(2n+0.5)\frac{\pi}{a}}^{(2n+1)\frac{\pi}{a}} \left( t - (2n + 0.5) \frac{\pi}{a} \right) \cos at dt, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} = \frac{\delta}{a} > 0. \end{aligned}$$

In addition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p'(t - \tau) - p'(t) &= p' \left( t - \frac{\pi}{a} \right) - p'(t) = a\delta \cos a \left( t - \frac{\pi}{a} \right) - a\delta \cos at \\ &= a\delta [\cos(at - \pi) - \cos at] = a\delta (-\cos at - \cos at) \\ &= -2a\delta \cos at > 0 \quad \text{for } t \in \left( (2n + 0.5) \frac{\pi}{a}, (2n + 1) \frac{\pi}{a} \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \end{aligned}$$

that is, condition (5) is satisfied. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{t-\frac{\pi}{a}}^t \left( \frac{a}{\pi e} + \delta \sin as \right) ds \\ &= \frac{a}{\pi e} t - \frac{\delta}{a} \cos at - \frac{a}{\pi e} \left( t - \frac{\pi}{a} \right) + \frac{\delta}{a} \cos a \left( t - \frac{\pi}{a} \right) \\ &= -\frac{\delta}{a} \cos at + \frac{1}{e} + \frac{\delta}{a} \cos(at - \pi) = \frac{1}{e} - \frac{\delta}{a} \cos at - \frac{\delta}{a} \cos at \\ &= \frac{1}{e} - \frac{2\delta}{a} \cos at. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\frac{\pi}{a}}^t p(s) ds &= \liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\frac{\pi}{a}}^t \left( \frac{a}{\pi e} + \delta \sin as \right) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{e} - \frac{2\delta}{a} > 0, \quad \delta \in \left( 0, \frac{a}{\pi e} \right), \end{aligned}$$

so that all conditions of Theorem 2.1 are satisfied, which means that all solutions of (9) are oscillatory.

Observe, however, that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\frac{\pi}{a}}^t p(s) ds = \frac{1}{e} + \frac{2\delta}{a} < \frac{\pi + 2}{\pi e} < 1, \quad \delta \in \left( 0, \frac{a}{\pi e} \right)$$

and

$$\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t-\frac{\pi}{a}}^t p(s) ds = \frac{1}{e} - \frac{2\delta}{a} < \frac{1}{e}, \quad \delta \in \left( 0, \frac{a}{\pi e} \right),$$

which means that conditions (2) and (3) are not satisfied.

Moreover, the function  $f(t)$  is not slowly varying at infinity. Indeed,

$$f(t) = \int_{t-\frac{\pi}{a}}^t p(s) ds = \frac{1}{e} - \frac{2\delta}{a} \cos at, \quad \delta \in \left( 0, \frac{a}{\pi e} \right),$$



and

$$\begin{aligned} f(t+s) - f(t) &= -\frac{2\delta}{a} \cos a(t+s) + \frac{2\delta}{a} \cos at \\ &= \frac{2\delta}{a} [\cos at - \cos a(t+s)], \quad s \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $s = \pi/a$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(t + \frac{\pi}{a}\right) - f(t) &= \frac{2\delta}{a} \left[ \cos at - \cos a\left(t + \frac{\pi}{a}\right) \right] \\ &= \frac{2\delta}{a} [\cos at + \cos at] = \frac{4\delta}{a} \cos at \not\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty, \delta \in \left(0, \frac{a}{\pi e}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus Theorem 1.1 cannot be applied. Recall (see, e.g., [3, 12]) that a function  $f : [t_0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is slowly varying at infinity if for every  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$f(t+s) - f(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow \infty.$$

#### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the anonymous referees for their help to improve the manuscript.

#### Funding

The first author was supported by the Special Account for Research of ASPETE through the funding program Strengthening research of ASPETE faculty members.

#### Availability of data and materials

Data sharing is not applicable to this paper as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

The authors declare that they have read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering Educators, School of Pedagogical and Technological Education (ASPETE), Athens, Greece. <sup>2</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Žilina, Žilina, Slovak Republic.

#### Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Received: 7 December 2020 Accepted: 18 January 2021 Published online: 28 January 2021

#### References

- Džurina, J., Grace, S.R., Jadlovská, I., Li, T.: Oscillation criteria for second-order Emden–Fowler delay differential equations with a sublinear neutral term. *Math. Nachr.* **293**(5), 910–922 (2020)
- Erbe, L.H., Kong, Q., Zhang, B.G.: *Oscillation Theory for Functional Differential Equations*. Dekker, New York (1995)
- Garab, A., Pituk, M., Stavroulakis, I.P.: A sharp oscillation criterion for a linear delay differential equation. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **93**, 58–65 (2019)
- Györi, I., Ladas, G.: *Oscillation Theory of Delay Differential Equations with Applications*. Oxford University Press, New York (1991)
- Chatzarakis, G.E., Li, T.: Oscillation criteria for delay and advanced differential equations with nonmonotone arguments. *Complexity* **2018**, 8237634 (2018)
- Chatzarakis, G.E., Grace, S.R., Jadlovská, I., Li, T., Tunç, E.: Oscillation criteria for third-order Emden–Fowler differential equations with unbounded neutral coefficients. *Complexity* **2019**, 5691758 (2019)
- Koplatadze, R.G., Chanturija, T.A.: On the oscillatory and monotonic solutions of first order differential equations with deviating arguments. *Differ. Uravn.* **18**, 1463–1465 (1982)
- Ladas, G.: Sharp conditions for oscillations caused by delays. *Appl. Anal.* **9**(2), 93–98 (1979)
- Ladas, G., Lakshmikantham, V., Papadakis, L.S.: Oscillations of higher-order retarded differential equations generated by the retarded arguments. In: Schmitt, K. (ed.) *Delay and Functional Differential Equations and Their Applications*, pp. 219–231. Academic Press, New York (1972)

10. Li, T., Rogovchenko, Y.V.: On the asymptotic behavior of solutions to a class of third-order nonlinear neutral differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **105**(106293), 1–7 (2020)
11. Li, T., Rogovchenko, Y.V.: Oscillation criteria for even-order neutral differential equations. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **61**, 35–41 (2019)
12. Ash, J.M., Erdős, P., Rubel, L.A.: Very slowly varying functions. *Aequ. Math.* **10**, 1–9 (1974)

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](https://www.springeropen.com)

---

## Terms and Conditions

Springer Nature journal content, brought to you courtesy of Springer Nature Customer Service Center GmbH (“Springer Nature”).

Springer Nature supports a reasonable amount of sharing of research papers by authors, subscribers and authorised users (“Users”), for small-scale personal, non-commercial use provided that all copyright, trade and service marks and other proprietary notices are maintained. By accessing, sharing, receiving or otherwise using the Springer Nature journal content you agree to these terms of use (“Terms”). For these purposes, Springer Nature considers academic use (by researchers and students) to be non-commercial.

These Terms are supplementary and will apply in addition to any applicable website terms and conditions, a relevant site licence or a personal subscription. These Terms will prevail over any conflict or ambiguity with regards to the relevant terms, a site licence or a personal subscription (to the extent of the conflict or ambiguity only). For Creative Commons-licensed articles, the terms of the Creative Commons license used will apply.

We collect and use personal data to provide access to the Springer Nature journal content. We may also use these personal data internally within ResearchGate and Springer Nature and as agreed share it, in an anonymised way, for purposes of tracking, analysis and reporting. We will not otherwise disclose your personal data outside the ResearchGate or the Springer Nature group of companies unless we have your permission as detailed in the Privacy Policy.

While Users may use the Springer Nature journal content for small scale, personal non-commercial use, it is important to note that Users may not:

1. use such content for the purpose of providing other users with access on a regular or large scale basis or as a means to circumvent access control;
2. use such content where to do so would be considered a criminal or statutory offence in any jurisdiction, or gives rise to civil liability, or is otherwise unlawful;
3. falsely or misleadingly imply or suggest endorsement, approval, sponsorship, or association unless explicitly agreed to by Springer Nature in writing;
4. use bots or other automated methods to access the content or redirect messages
5. override any security feature or exclusionary protocol; or
6. share the content in order to create substitute for Springer Nature products or services or a systematic database of Springer Nature journal content.

In line with the restriction against commercial use, Springer Nature does not permit the creation of a product or service that creates revenue, royalties, rent or income from our content or its inclusion as part of a paid for service or for other commercial gain. Springer Nature journal content cannot be used for inter-library loans and librarians may not upload Springer Nature journal content on a large scale into their, or any other, institutional repository.

These terms of use are reviewed regularly and may be amended at any time. Springer Nature is not obligated to publish any information or content on this website and may remove it or features or functionality at our sole discretion, at any time with or without notice. Springer Nature may revoke this licence to you at any time and remove access to any copies of the Springer Nature journal content which have been saved.

To the fullest extent permitted by law, Springer Nature makes no warranties, representations or guarantees to Users, either express or implied with respect to the Springer nature journal content and all parties disclaim and waive any implied warranties or warranties imposed by law, including merchantability or fitness for any particular purpose.

Please note that these rights do not automatically extend to content, data or other material published by Springer Nature that may be licensed from third parties.

If you would like to use or distribute our Springer Nature journal content to a wider audience or on a regular basis or in any other manner not expressly permitted by these Terms, please contact Springer Nature at

[onlineservice@springernature.com](mailto:onlineservice@springernature.com)